

## *Won Case 6*

Karo Brutyān purchased mobile phone from Vivacell company due to amount 84900 AMD. During the exploitation of phone, while being in warranty period, screen defect occurred, which was latent and had not been noticed by the time of purchase. The phone was given to service center for restoration, but the restoration was not possible due to the absence of spare parts. Taking into account that fact Mr. Brutyān suggested company to purchase for him the model he wanted provided to pay the difference of prices but the offer was rejected. Mr. Brutyān after rejection demanded return of the money paid for the phone, but Vivacel refused to return money and the latter constrainedly applied for the judicial protection of his violated rights through **advocate Karen Hovhannisyan**.

**Mr. Hovhannisyan lodged a lawsuit to the General Instance Court and the claim satisfied totally by the Court judgement. The judgement was not appealed and entered into force.**

**As a result Mr. Brutyān not only returned the money he had paid for the defective phone, but also received compensation at the rate of 425.000 AMD.**